Math Logic: Model Theory & Computability Lecture 29

(or (a) Prinitive recursive relations form a Boolean algebra, i.e. are closed unles cosplements and finite unious/intersections. (6) Primitive recursive functiones are closed unler duphilitions by capes. Proof. HW. In particular, the class of primitive recursive relations is closed uncled bdd guartification, i.e. if RGINKXIN prim. rec. How so are Jycz R(x,y) and tycz R(x,y). For bull quantification, it's anothe the prove $M = Q(\vec{x}, z) : \langle - \rangle \exists y \leq z R(\vec{x}, y)$ is prime recently $IQ(\vec{x}, z) = I_{\zeta}(\mathcal{J}_{g \leq z}(R(\vec{x}, y)), z)$. Loc. The bodel & function as well as all coefing / decoding tunctions are Proof. The search operation involved in the detractions of these functions is bounded. Details left as HW $\frac{\text{loc}(\text{Normal form for functions})}{f(\vec{a})} = \left(\int_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{F}} \left(R(\vec{a}_{f}^{2}x)\right)_{0}^{2} - \left(\int_{X}^{\mathcal{K}} \left(g(\vec{a}_{f}^{2}x) = 0\right)\right)_{0}^{2}\right)$

Proof. We prove by induction on the inductive ditrition / inplexity of cogenetable function. First inductive that if f is already primitive remersive,
then it is of the district form becaus:

$$f(\vec{x}^2) = (f_{\infty}^{-1}(M_{\infty} = f(\vec{x}^2))) = (f_{\infty}^{-1}(M_{\infty}, f(\vec{x}^2)) = 0))_{0}$$
.
Since we have already chown the all basic computable the district in
 (CA) are prime remersive, we're draw with the base care.
For (c2), suppose that $f = g(h_{1}, ..., h_{\ell})$ there each $h_{1} : |N^{k} \rightarrow N| d g(N^{2}M)$
are computable and are at the desired form:
 $g(\vec{b}^2) = (f_{\infty}^{-1}(R(\vec{b}, f(\vec{s})))_{0})$ and $h_{1}(\vec{a}) = (f_{\infty}^{-1}(R(\vec{a}', (2)_{(1)}) and $f(\vec{a}') = (f_{\infty}^{-1}(R(\vec{a}'), x_{\ell})))$.
Then $f(\vec{a}') = (f_{\infty}^{-1}(R(\vec{a}', x_{\ell}))) \wedge R(((2)_{0}) \cdots f((2)_{\ell(1)}) \wedge \forall i < R((2)_{(1)}) and $\forall x_{i} < (2)_{(1)} = R((2)_{0}) \cdots f((2)_{\ell(1)}) \wedge \forall i < R((2)_{(1)}) = (f(\vec{a}'), x_{\ell}))$.
(C3) is handled even exciser, if $f(\vec{a}') = (f_{0}(L(\vec{a}, x, y)) = 0))_{0}$, then
 $f(\vec{a}') = (f_{\infty}^{-1}(R(\vec{a}, x_{\ell})) \wedge R(((2)_{0}) \wedge h(\vec{a}', (2)_{1}), (2)_{\ell}) = (f(\vec{a}'), (2)_{\ell})_{0} = (f(\vec{a}'), (2)_{\ell}) = (f(\vec{a}', (2)_{\ell}) - (f(2)_{\ell}), (2)_{\ell}))_{0}$.
(C3) is handled even exciser, if $f(\vec{a}') = f(x_{\ell}) (h(\vec{a}, x, y) = 0))_{0}$, then
 $f(\vec{a}') = (f_{\infty}^{-1}(R(\vec{a}, x_{\ell})) \wedge R((2)_{\ell}) \wedge h(\vec{a}', (2)_{\ell}) - 0 \wedge ((2)_{\ell}) = 0)$
 $\Lambda^{-1} \forall u < (2)_{\ell} \wedge h(\vec{a}', (2)_{\ell}) = 0 \wedge ((2)_{\ell}) = 0$
 $f(\vec{a}') = (f_{\infty}^{-1}(R(\vec{a}, x_{\ell})))_{0}$ for some prime for the form
 $f(\vec{a}') = (f_{\infty}(R(\vec{a}, x_{\ell})))_{0}$ for some prime recerves we find the form
 $f(\vec{a}) = (f_{\infty}(R(\vec{a}, x_{\ell})))_{0}$ for some prime recerves $R \le N^{k+1}$. Thus,$$

 $S(\vec{a}) \iff \exists x (R(\vec{a},x) \land (x)_0=1)$ and " $R(\vec{a},x) \land (x)_0=1$ " is primitive recursi-c. Parameterization of competable and prinifive recursive tunchious. Def. For a subset R SX × Y, there X, Y are sets, and x, EX, y EY, we call Rx. = { y EY: (x, y) ER} and R⁴⁰ = { x EX: (x, y,) ER} the vertical section of R at xo and the incitantal section of R at yo, resp. For a Euclion f: X × Y → Z, shere X,YZ are sets, and x. EX, Y. EY, $r_{x} \quad call the trunching f_{x_{0}}: Y \rightarrow 2 \quad and \quad f^{y_{0}}: X \rightarrow 2$ $y \mapsto f(x_{0}, y) \quad x \mapsto f(x, y^{0})$ the vertical section of f at x6 and the borizontal section of F at y0. Not For a clim The of subsets of INK, a parameterization for The is a relation P = IN × INK such that for all RET, there is a EIN such that R=E. Similarly, for a class by of fortions IN ~ IN, a para-eteritation for Ar is a function F: NX(NK > IN such the for each fer, there is cell ship NF f=Fc. The following method due to larter gives a way to prove that some clones of relations / finctions that are closed unler "complements" do not admit a parc meterization that belows to the same class. Diajonalization (Cantor). For any set X and any REXXX, the set AutiDiag := Yx EX : (x, E) & R}

is not a vertical or horizontal fiber of R, i.e.
$$\exists x_0, q, \epsilon X$$
 s.t.
Anti Piago = Rx. or = R⁴⁰.
X
Anti Piago = Rx. or = R⁴⁰.
X
Anti Diago = Rx. or = R⁴⁰.
X
Anti Diago = Rx. or = R⁴⁰.
X
Anti Diago = Rx. or = R⁴⁰.
X
Ko & Anti Diago = Rx. Unit
No & Anti Diago =